PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

"То:		PCT						
see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)						
•		(1 01 1 tale 4000.1)						
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)						
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below						
International application No. PCT/EP2004/008114	International filing date (c 20.07.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 21.07.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07K14/54, C07K14/55, C12N15/62, C12N15/861, A61K48/00, A61K38/19								
Applicant TRANSGENE S.A.								
Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain document Box No. VII Certain defect Box No. VIII Certain observation Box No. VIII Certain Box No. VIII Certain Box No. VIII Box No.	ard to novelty, inventives. 1.1(a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state of the supporting such state of the supportion will gard the supportion of the	usually be considered to be a lowever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the tional Searching Authority						
			·					

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

9)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Stolz, B

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8416



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008114

Ċ									
	Box	N	o. I Basis of the opinion						
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.								
		lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and results 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: 									
a. type of material:									
	Þ	3	a sequence listing						
]	table(s) related to the sequence listing						
b. format of material:									
	Þ	3	in written format						
	Þ	3	in computer readable form						
	c. tir	ne	of filing/furnishing:						
	Þ	3	contained in the international application as filed.						
	Ċ]	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
	Ę]	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.						
4.	Äddi	itio	nal comments:						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008114

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial								
app	applicability							
The obv	questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non ous), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	the entire international application,							
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1-41, as far as they relate to fusion proteins based on IL-7, IL-15, IL-21, IL-27, IL-31, IFNg							
bec	ause:							
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.							
⊠	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. cf. above							
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:							
	the written form							
	☐ does not comply with the standard							
	the computer readable form has not been furnished							
	☐ does not comply with the standard							
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.							
	See separate sheet for further details							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008114

л		٠				•						·	
_	Box	No. IV	Lack of unity of in	ventio	1								
1.	☐ In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:												
			paid additional fees.					•					
			paid additional fees u	nder pr	otest.								
			not paid additional fee	es.									
2.	. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.								vite				
3.	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is												
	□ complied with												
	□ not complied with for the following reasons: □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □ 4 □												
	5	see separate sheet											
4.	Çons	equen	tly, this report has bee	n estat	olished in re	spect o	the fol	lowing p	arts of	the inte	ernation	al applica	ation:
	∵⊟ al	l parts											
;	₃⊠ th	e parts	s relating to claims Nos	s. 1-41	as far as th	ey relat	e to fus	ions of I	L-2 witl	n IL-7, :	and IL-1	8 with IL-	-2
		No. V strial a	Reasoned stateme applicability; citations	nt und	er Rule 43 explanation	<i>bis</i> .1(a) is supp	(i) with orting	regard such st	to nov atemei	elty, ir nt	ventive	step or	
1.	State	ment											
	Nove	elty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	7-41 1-6							
	Inver	itive st	ep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-41							
	Indus	strial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-41							
2.	Citati	ions ar	nd explanations								•		
	000		to oboot										

1. Unity of the invention

A non-unity objection has been raised. The following assessment relates to searched Inventions 1 and 10, i.e. to fusion proteins comprising II-2 and IL-7, and IL-18 and IL-2, respectively.

- 2. Fusions of IL-2 and IL-7
- 2.1 Novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT)

Claims 1 to 5 lack novelty in view of the cited prior art disclosing IL-2 fusions with II-2, IL-6, GM-CSF and IFNgamma (or IFNg with IL-2).

Fusions proteins comprising II-2 and IL-7 have not been disclosed in the cited prior art. Therefore, the claims are new to the extent that they relate to invention 1.

2.2. Inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT)

XP009040509 (Kaufmann et al.) and XP009040510 (Kondo et al.) describe beneficial effects for the combination of Il-2 with IL-7 in the treatment or prevention of tumors. Fusion proteins of a number of immunoregulatory peptides have been described in a number of prior art documents.

As discussed on pp. 5/6 of the instant application, the benefits of fusing cytokines were known to the person of skill (cf. also the cited X-docs). Therefore, and in view of he afore mentioned XP documents, the ISA cannot recognize anything inventive in specifically fusing IL-2 with IL-7.

Expression of II-2 fusion proteins in adenoviral and other vectors has been known (WO94/21792, WO98/40498, WO99/36440). Coexpression of one or more interleukins by viral vectors in combination with antigens (e.g. HPV) has been described (WO98/08947, p. 19). Likewise, IL-2 mutants with improved activities were known (cf. Shanafelt et al., WO99/60128, WO93/20849, all cited in the application).

Gene therapy with CDase in combination with IL-2 has been described in Ju et al., and adenoviral vectors expressing a fused CDase-UPRTase is disclosed in US6552005. The ISA is of the opinion that in view of he demonstrated ability of adenoviral vectors to express IL-2 fusion proteins, the expression specifically of IL-2 - IL-7 fusions did not

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING
 AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/008114

As far as the claims relate to variant IL-2 or IL-18, they are considered obvious in view of WO02/101049, WO99/60128, and WO93/20849.

Adenoviral vectors were known in the art (WO01/68896, Wang et al.), as well as the use of IL-2 in combination with IL-18 to treat infections or cancer.